

Delegated Officer Report

Decision Maker: Rebekah Sutcliffe, Strategic Director of Reform

Date of Decision: 25th June 2019

Subject: Sexual Health Improvement Programme

Report Author: Lianne Davies

Ward (s): Borough wide

Reason for the decision:	To seek approval to extend the current contract (Seal No. 274417) for the provision of a Greater Manchester Sexual Health Improvement Programme (GMSHIP). This service has been procured by Salford City Council (Lead Partner) on behalf of the 10 GM Local Authorities, agreement is now required to extend the contract for a further two years to terminate on 30 th June 2021.
Summary:	<p>Local authorities are mandated to ensure the provision of HIV/STI testing and treatment services (excluding HIV treatment) and contraception services in line with the requirements set out in the Local Authorities (Public Health Functions) Regulations 2012.</p> <p>The local authorities of Greater Manchester have agreed to work in partnership to plan, procure and manage the provision of sexual and reproductive health services and HIV/STI prevention and support services.</p> <p>This contract is for the provision of HIV/STI prevention and support services through delivery of a Greater Manchester Sexual Health Improvement Programme (GMSHIP).</p> <p>Salford City Council has commissioned the GMSHIP on behalf of all of the local authorities of Greater</p>

	<p>Manchester. All of the local authorities are contributing funding for this programme, Oldham Council's contribution is £31,540 per year, a total of £63,080 over two years.</p> <p>The provider of the GMSHIP is the Passionate about Sexual Health (PaSH) partnership. PaSH is a collaboration led by BHA, in partnership with George House Trust and the LGBT Foundation, the contract commenced on 1 August 2017.</p> <p>The GMSHIP contributes to protecting and improving the sexual and reproductive health of residents from populations most at-risk of exposure to HIV (MARPs)</p> <p>It also contributes to protecting and improving the health and wellbeing of residents living with diagnosed HIV through an asset based approach.</p> <p>The Programme consists of the following components:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Online information, advice and guidance (2) Support for residents from populations most at-risk of exposure to HIV (3) Support for residents both newly diagnosed and living with diagnosed HIV <p>The interventions and services of the GMSHIP complement other related services including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Sexual and reproductive health services in Greater Manchester o HIV treatment services in Greater Manchester o HIV prevention and support services o GPs and pharmacies <p>The provider utilises national and local data and intelligence to inform the allocation of resource between populations.</p>
<p><i>What are the alternative option(s) to be considered? Please give the reason(s) for recommendation(s):</i></p>	<p>For Oldham Council to discontinue its funding contribution, however this could impact on the remaining 9 GM Local Authorities who have invested in this service and could have reputational implications as the Council has previously agreed to</p>

	<p>work in partnership with all GM Local Authorities in the procurement of sexual health services.</p> <p>This decision would also be unsupportive of the GM population health plan's objective to scale up 'our' response to HIV eradication; through the prevention of onward transmission of HIV, both through earlier diagnosis and identification of undiagnosed people living with HIV.</p>
Consultation: including any conflict of interest declared by relevant Cabinet Member consulted.	N/A
Recommendation(s):	To seek approval to extend the current contract (Seal No. 274417) for the provision of a Greater Manchester Sexual Health Improvement Programme (GMSHIP) delivered by PaSH, for a further two years, to terminate on 30 th June 2021.
Implications:	
<i>What are the legal implications?</i>	There is provision under Regulation 72 1. (a) the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 for a contracting authority to grant a modification to a contract in circumstances where the original tendered contract contains specific clauses permitting the proposed modification and the proposed modification would not alter the nature of the contract. In this case, the contract was awarded for a period of two years with the options to extend it for a period of one year and a further period of one year. Salford City Council, acting in its capacity as contracting authority, is seeking to exercise the second option to extend the contract until 30 th June 2021. (Elizabeth Cunningham Doyle)
<i>What are the financial implications?</i>	<p>It is proposed that the existing contract with PaSH be renewed for a further two year period, terminating on the 30th June 2021.</p> <p>Budgetary provision exists within the Public Health base budget (cost centre 65080) to cover the cost of the proposed contract extension.</p> <p>There are no adverse financial implications as a result of renewing the contract.</p> <p>(Nicola Harrop Finance Manager)</p>

<p>What are the procurement implications?</p>	<p>This is Salford Council led contract there is a provision that exists in the original contract to extend this contract in line with the recommendations in this report. Strategic Sourcing therefore supports the recommendations in this report for extension. Service usage is vital for the local population. Strategic Sourcing will provide commercial support as necessary on this basis, and in accordance with legal advice. The procurement team also recommends the followings for the stakeholder.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. A clear KPI's must be agreed with the provider and the commissioning team manages and monitors KPI's. b. A contract variation document must be agreed and includes full financial information such as rates we pay i.e. per hourly or daily; not just the financial envelope. c. Set up a working group involving Procurement team at early stage ensuring no further requests will be made to extend these contracts in the future. d. Ensure appropriate consultation is undertaken at pre-procurement stage with the provider market, (Mohammad Sharif) 17/06/2019 Interim sourcing.
<p>What are the Human Resources implications?</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Equality and Diversity Impact Assessment attached or not required because (please give reason)</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>What are the property implications</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Risks:</p>	<p>If funding contributions ceased, there would be no service provision in Oldham specifically working to protect residents from populations most at-risk of exposure to HIV (MARPs) or supporting residents living with diagnosed HIV. This could impact of HIV new and late diagnosis rates.</p>
<p>Co-operative agenda</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Has the relevant Legal Officer confirmed that the recommendations within this report are lawful and comply with the Council's Constitution? Yes

Has the relevant Finance Officer confirmed that any expenditure referred to within this report is consistent with the Council's budget? Yes

Are any of the recommendations within this report contrary to the Policy Framework of the Council? No

There are no background papers for this report

Report Author Sign-off:	
Lianne Davies	Katrina Stephens
Date: 25 th June 2019	

Please list and attach any appendices:-

Appendix number or letter	Description

In consultation with Director/Executive Director – Rebekah Sutcliffe



Signed:

Date: 04/07/19